

**12<sup>th</sup> Annual PATHWAYS Student Research Symposium**  
**POSTER Presentation Judging Rubric**

**Presenter's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Poster Presentation Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Student Classification:**  Undergraduate     Master's     Doctoral

Category	1 - 3	4 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 12	SCORE
<b>Abstract</b>	Unable to connect abstract to oral presentation.	Somewhat able to see connection of abstract to research/presentation. Abstract did not contain sufficient information	Abstract adequately presented student's research. More information would have been beneficial.	Abstract strongly presented the student's research. Clearly supported topic presented and contained important points.	<b>Abstract</b>
<b>Poster Content</b>	Connection not found between poster content and purpose of study, research hypothesis/question(s), method, conclusions, or implications.	Content presented was difficult to understand and did not sufficiently convey a connection to the study, research hypothesis/question(s), method, conclusions, or implications.	The content was adequately presented but support for the study, research hypothesis, or question(s) is somewhat general. Conclusion and implications were reasonable.	Strong material. Well summarized. Clearly shows development of study or research. Material appears to accurately support purpose of study, hypothesis, or research question(s). Strong conclusions and implications presented.	<b>Poster Content</b>
<b>Poster Appearance</b>	Not visually effective.	Poster was acceptable but needs work to improve visual appeal through better utilization of fonts, colors, headings, and white space.	Poster was adequate but could improve effectiveness through better use of space through font size, colors, headings, and white space.	Visually appealing and strongly effective presentation. Easy to read. Utilized creativity in use of fonts, headings, colors, and white space.	<b>Poster Appearance</b>
<b>Poster Organization</b>	Unable to understand link between information presented and topic of research.	Topic of research is not clear. Information presented is somewhat confusing.	Topic of the research is apparent. The presentation of information could use refining.	Topic of research is clearly evident. Layout of poster is logical, and provides sequential information from introduction to conclusion and references.	<b>Poster Organization</b>
<b>Presenter's Oral Presentation</b>	Presenter was not prepared. Demonstrated problems in several areas (no eye contact, no clear discussion of research, lack of professionalism).	Presenter did not convey a sense of confidence or ability to clearly discuss the research problem, methods, conclusion, and implications. Additional practice would be helpful.	Presentation and demonstration of understanding was acceptable. Demonstrated some problems (speaking too softly, use of Jargon, hesitation, inability to handle questions, etc.)	Presenter was confident and professional. Established eye contact. Clearly conveyed research problem, methods, conclusions, and implications. Answered questions well. Discussed research in layman's terms or appropriate to judge.	<b>Presentation</b>
<b>Grand Total (Maximum of 60)</b>					

**Judge's Name (Please Print):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Feedback:** \_\_\_\_\_